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Background: Alcohol-induced blackouts, or memory loss for all or portions of events that occurred during a drinking episode, are reported by approximately 50% of drinkers and are associated with a wide range of negative consequences, including injury and death. As such, identifying the factors that contribute to and result from alcohol-induced blackouts is critical in developing effective prevention programs. Here, we provide an updated review (2010 to 2015) of clinical research focused on alcohol-induced blackouts, outline practical and clinical implications, and provide recommendations for future research.

Methods: A comprehensive, systematic literature review was conducted to examine all articles published between January 2010 through August 2015 that focused on vulnerabilities, consequences, and possible mechanisms for alcohol-induced blackouts.

Results: Twenty-six studies reported on alcohol-induced blackouts. Fifteen studies examined prevalence and/or predictors of alcohol-induced blackouts. Six publications described the consequences of alcohol-induced blackouts, and 5 studies explored potential cognitive and neurobiological mechanisms underlying alcohol-induced blackouts.

Conclusions: Recent research on alcohol-induced blackouts suggests that individual differences, not just alcohol consumption, increase the likelihood of experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout, and the consequences of alcohol-induced blackouts extend beyond the consequences related to the drinking episode to include psychiatric symptoms and neurobiological abnormalities. Prospective studies and a standardized assessment of alcohol-induced blackouts are needed to fully characterize factors associated with alcohol-induced blackouts and to improve prevention strategies.
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Based on the duration and extent of alcohol-induced memory loss, researchers have described 2 qualitatively distinct types of blackouts: en bloc and fragmentary (Goodwin et al., 1969a,b). En bloc blackouts typically occur at higher blood alcohol concentrations (BACs), have a distinct onset, and involve complete memory loss for a specific period of the drinking event. Fragmentary blackouts (also known as “brown outs” or “gray outs”), however, involve partial amnesia during a drinking episode, but one may be able to recall events of the episode with relevant cues (Jennison and Johnson, 1994). Fragmentary blackouts occur more frequently than en bloc blackouts (Goodwin et al., 1969b; Hartzler and Fromme, 2003; White et al., 2004), but neither type appears to occur until breath alcohol concentrations (BrACs) are 0.06 g/dl or greater (Hartzler and Fromme, 2003). Estimates of BrACs indicated that most blackouts occurred around 0.20 g/dl, but as low as 0.14 g/dl (Ryback, 1970). According to a study of amnesia in people arrested for alcohol-related offenses (Perry et al., 2006), the probability of a fragmentary or an en bloc blackout was 50/50 at a BrAC of 0.22 g/dl and the probability of an en bloc blackout was 50/50 at a BrAC of 0.31 g/dl. As such, blackouts typically occur during binge- or excessive drinking episodes. Further, gulping drinks and drinking on an empty stomach (Goodwin, 1995; Perry et al., 2006), which cause a rapid rise and high peak BAC, can also increase the likelihood of experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout.

Although alcohol-induced blackouts were previously thought to occur only in individuals who were alcohol dependent (Jellinek, 1946), we now know that blackouts are quite common among healthy young adults. In fact, approximately 50% of college students who consume alcohol report having experienced an alcohol-induced blackout (Barnett et al., 2014; White et al., 2002). Consequently, there has been increased media and research interest in alcohol-induced blackouts over the past 2 decades with at least 3 reviews describing the phenomenon (Lee et al., 2009; Rose and Grant, 2010; White, 2003), a brief, descriptive section in a review on excessive alcohol use (White and Hingson, 2013), and a recently published memoir that poignantly describes the phenomenology of blackouts (Hepona, 2015) (Fig. 1). Therefore, this systematic review provides an update (2010 to 2015) on the clinical research focused on alcohol-induced blackouts, outlines practical and clinical implications and provides recommendations for future research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A Medline search was conducted in September 2015 to identify publications that included either “alcohol” or “ethanol” as 1 search term and at least 1 blackout-related search term (e.g., “blackouts,” “blacked out”). The wildcard character “*” was used to include all forms of the root word. The most recent review of blackouts was published in 2010 (Rose and Grant, 2010), and there has since been extensive new research; therefore, we limited our review to articles published between January 2010 and August 2015. After removing duplicates, case studies, articles that were not published in English, and studies not conducted in humans, the remaining publications were reviewed to determine whether they met inclusion criteria, namely that the study examined vulnerabilities, consequences, and possible mechanisms for alcohol-induced blackouts.

RESULTS

Study Characteristics

A total of 26 publications met the criteria to be included in the review (see Table 1 for study details). Fifteen studies examined prevalence and/or predictors of alcohol-induced blackouts. Six publications described consequences of alcohol-induced blackouts, and 5 studies explored potential cognitive and neurobiological mechanisms underlying alcohol-induced blackouts.

Prevalence and Predictors of Alcohol-Induced Blackouts

The majority of the publications identified for this review examined binge-drinking and alcohol-related consequences, including blackouts, among young adults and college students and reported prevalence rates ranging from approximately 20 to 55% (Barnett et al., 2014; Boekeloo et al., 2011; Brister et al., 2011; Chartier et al., 2011; Clinkinbeard and Johnson, 2013; Hallett et al., 2013; Sanchez et al., 2015; Willhite and Fromme, 2015). Although prevalence rates were typically around 50%, 1 study reported a prevalence rate of only about 20%; however, this was a qualitative study examining how university students define binge drinking (Clinkinbeard and Johnson, 2013). As such, participants were not directly asked whether they had experienced an alcohol-induced blackout, but rather participants were asked to describe binge drinking, and then researchers categorized whether the responses described alcohol-induced blackouts. In addition to their prevalence rate of 54%, Barnett and colleagues (2014) found that college students reported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Blackout-related findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Barnett and colleagues  | Multisite,          | 1,053 first-year college students, ages: 16 to 21 (M = 18.4), 58% female | (a) Demographics, alcohol consumption, and consequences surveys  
(b) Self-report: baseline and biweekly web-based surveys throughout the first year of college | Blackouts and getting physically sick were most common negative consequences  
54.2% of subjects endorsed a blackout during first year of college  
Almost 1 in 3 drinking weeks resulted in a blackout  
Freshmen who drink to get drunk (DTGD) were more likely to have consumed alcohol prior to going out, participated in a drinking game, drank heavily on a nonschool night, used liquor/beer, combined alcohol and drugs, experienced a hangover, vomited, passed out, and/or blacked out  
50% of those who DTGD endorsed a blackout during past 30 days |
|                        | multicohort,        |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
|                        | prospective         |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| Boekeloo and colleagues | Cross-sectional     | 307 incoming freshmen college students, 59% female                      | (a) Demographics, Thombs and Beck Social Context scale, National Study of Living-Learning Programs, Alcohol consumption during a typical week in the past 30 days  
(b) Self-report, web-based surveys | Blackouts were one of the most frequently reported nonacademic problems (44.8%)  
Several negative consequences, including blackouts, were rated as positive by a large proportion of the sample |
|                        |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| Brister and colleagues  | Cross-sectional     | One hundred and fifty 21-year-olds, 50% female                          | (a) Demographics, drinking history over past 3 months, lifetime maximum drinks, birthday experiences checklist, semi-structured interviews  
(b) Telephone interview; self-report questionnaires and semi-structured interview within 4 days of 21st birthday celebration | 40% reported experiencing a blackout during their 21st birthday celebration  
Physical (e.g., blackout and hangover) and behavioral risks were predicted by higher estimated blood alcohol concentrations |
|                        |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| Chartier and colleagues | Prospective         | 166 subjects from the community who consume alcohol, ages: 23 to 29 (M = 25.9), 60% female | (a) Demographics, SSAGA-I, age of first intoxication, conduct problems, childhood household conflict, AEO-A  
(b) Baseline interview with father and subject with follow-up interview with subject approximately 5 and 10 years later (3 time points) | Drinking in hazardous situations, blackouts, and tolerance were most common alcohol problems  
Blackouts were more prevalent in whites and males  
Age of onset for blackouts occurred at approximately 19 years of age |
|                        |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| Clinkinbeard and        | Cross-sectional     | 272 college students, ages: 18 to 25 (M = 19.9), 52% male              | (a) Demographics, items from the American College Health Assessment and Harvard Alcohol Study and a question asking for students’ own qualitative definition of binge drinking  
(b) Self-report surveys | Binge drinkers were more likely to define binge drinking in an extreme manner such that the drinking results in vomiting or blacking out |
| Johnson (2013)          |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| Hallett and colleagues  | Cross-sectional     | 942 Australian university students participating in an Internet-based intervention trial and scored ≥3 on AUDIT, ages: 17 to 24 (M = 19.4, 53% male) | (a) Demographics, questionnaire about frequency/quantity of alcohol use over the previous 4 weeks, AREAS, APS  
(b) Self-report survey | Blackouts were one of the most frequently reported nonacademic problem (44.8%)  
Several negative consequences, including blackouts, were rated as positive by a large proportion of the sample |
|                        |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
| LaBrie and colleagues   | Multisite,          | 2,546 college students, 59% female                                      | (a) Demographics, prepartying behavior questions about the past 30 days, DDQ  
(b) Self-report, web-based survey | 25% of students reported blacking out during at least 1 occasion in which prepartying occurred in the past 30 days  
Greek affiliation, family history of alcohol abuse, frequency of prepartying, and both playing drinking games and consuming shots of liquor while prepartying increased the likelihood of blacking out |
|                        | cross-sectional     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |
|                        |                     |                                                                         |                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                           |

Continued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Blackout-related findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Marino and Fromme (2015)        | Prospective     | 1,164 young adults, mean age 23.8 years, 65% female | (a) Demographics, alcohol-induced blackout over the past 3 months, FTQ, DDQ  
(b) Self-report, web-based surveys at baseline and each spring/fall for Years 1 to 3, then annually for Years 4 to 6  
*Note:* Subjects in this sample were required to complete baseline and Year 6 surveys | 66% of sample reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout  
Women were more likely to report blackouts than men  
Compared with women with a maternal family history of problematic alcohol use, men with a maternal family history of problematic alcohol use were more than twice as likely to report blackouts |
| Merrill and Read (2010)         | Cross-sectional | 192 regularly drinking college students, ages: 18 to 24 *(M = 19.1)*, 52% male | (a) Demographics, TLFB, Cooper's drinking motives scale, YAACQ  
(b) Self-report, questionnaires following a larger experimental study of mood and expectancy activation which included a random assignment to a positive, negative, or neutral mood induction followed by a reaction time task involving alcohol expectancies | SEM analyses revealed a direct association between enhancement motives and blackouts |
| Merrill and colleagues (2014)   | Prospective     | 552 college students, ages: 18 to 24, 57% age 21, 62% female | (a) Demographics, past month drinking quantity and frequency, Cooper's drinking motives scale, YAACQ  
(b) Self-report, web-based surveys at beginning of fall semester for 2 consecutive academic years | SEM analyses revealed an indirect association between enhancement motives at Time 1 and blackouts at Time 2 through increased levels of alcohol use at Time 2 |
| Ray and colleagues (2014)       | Prospective     | 336 first-year student drinkers, ages: 18 to 20 *(M = 18.2)*, 53% male | (a) Demographics, number drinks on previous Friday and Saturday, drinking game participation on drinking days *(Y/N)*, YAACQ  
(b) Self-report, 6 web-based surveys completed throughout the first year of college | Students who reported levels of alcohol quantity across events were more likely to report experiencing blackout  
Controlling for individual differences in typical drinking, those who played drinking games more frequently were more likely to experience a blackout  
When women, compared to men, consumed 1 more drink than their usual amount, odds of experiencing a blackout increased by 13%  
Blackouts were more prevalent in those who engaged in binge drinking while at the nightclub |
| Sanchez and colleagues (2015)   | Field study     | 1,222 nightclub patrons in Sao Paulo, Brazil, ages: 51% ≤ 24, 57% male | (a) Demographics, predrinking questions, alcohol-use patterns, drug use, and risk behaviors in nightclubs in the past 12 months, BrAC measurements, AUDIT  
(b) Self-report questionnaire, interview, and BrAC measured before entering nightclub; questionnaire, interview, BrAC when exiting nightclub; online survey the next day | In the 2 years prior to the age of 15, almost 30% of youth reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout  
At the age of 19, 74% of youth reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout  
Four latent trajectory classes were identified:  
Class 1 (5.1%) reported no blackouts  
Class 2 (29.5%) blackouts increased rapidly with age  
Class 3 (44.9%) blackouts slowly increased  
Class 4 (20.5%) blackouts were consistently reported  
Class 2 was used as a reference and predictors included female sex, higher drinking, smoking, externalizing characteristics, and estimated peer substance involvement |
| Schuckit and colleagues (2015)  | Prospective     | 1,402 drinking adolescents, ages: 15 to 19 *(M = 15.5)*, 60% female | (a) Demographics, alcohol use, SSAGA-I interview, cannabis/tobacco use, blackout frequency, conduct scale of the ESYTC, IPIP-NEO, IPAS  
(b) Children of pregnant women participating in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children who had consumed at least 1 full alcoholic drink by the age of 15 completed at least 2 assessments between the ages of 15 and 19 | In the 2 years prior to the age of 15, almost 30% of youth reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout  
At the age of 19, 74% of youth reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout  
Four latent trajectory classes were identified:  
Class 1 (5.1%) reported no blackouts  
Class 2 (29.5%) blackouts increased rapidly with age  
Class 3 (44.9%) blackouts slowly increased  
Class 4 (20.5%) blackouts were consistently reported  
Class 2 was used as a reference and predictors included female sex, higher drinking, smoking, externalizing characteristics, and estimated peer substance involvement |
Table 1. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Blackout-related findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wahl and colleagues (2013)</td>
<td>Multisite, cross-sectional</td>
<td>Seven hundred and fifty-seven 9th and 10th grade students in Germany, ages: 15 to 16 ($M = 15.6$), 50% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, leisure time activities, alcohol consumption and predrinking, drinking motivation, smoking and illicit drug use, attitude toward drinking, attitude of parents toward drinking, experiences of violence, peer group, AUDIT, SDQ</td>
<td>Predrinking is associated with experiencing alcohol-induced blackouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Fromme (2015)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>829 college students, mean age of 21.8 years, 66% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, DDQ, blackout questions, RAPI, social and emotional consequences questions; (b) Self-report, web-based surveys at baseline and each spring/fall for Years 1 to 3, then annually for Years 4 to 6</td>
<td>Alcohol dependence symptoms in Year 4 predicted increased frequency of blackouts and social/emotional consequences during the following year Blackouts during Year 4 predicted increased alcohol-related social and emotional consequences, but not alcohol dependence symptoms in Year 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Self-report, structured online questionnaire</td>
<td>Note: Subjects in this sample were required to complete Years 4 and 5 surveys and did not abstain from alcohol during Year 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies on consequences of alcohol-induced blackouts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bae and colleagues (2015)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>42,347 subjects, 54% female</td>
<td>(a) Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey from 2008 to 2011</td>
<td>Blackouts were associated with suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in males, and suicidal ideation in females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b) Interviews and self-report survey</td>
<td>At baseline, 52% of males and 50% of females had experienced an alcohol-induced blackout in the past year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 in 8 emergency department visits over a 2-year period were associated with blackouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Estimates indicated that blackout-associated emergency department visit costs ranged from $469,000 to $546,000 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approximately 7% of the sample reported experiencing 6 or more blackouts in the past year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alcohol-induced blackouts at baseline exhibited a dose-response on odds of alcohol-related injury during follow-up increasing from 1.57 among those who reported 1 to 2 blackouts to 2.64 for those reporting 6 or more blackouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mundt and Zakletskaia (2012)</td>
<td>Multisite, prospective</td>
<td>954 undergraduate and graduate students, ages: 18 to 41, 44% between the ages 18 to 20, 51% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, HSS, frequency of emergency department visits, frequency of alcohol-induced blackouts (from RAPI), TLFB, MEPS; (b) Full-time college students screened for problem alcohol use with HSS and taking part in randomized, control alcohol intervention trial; measures obtained via self-report at baseline and over the telephone at 6-, 12-, 18-, and 24-month follow-ups</td>
<td>History of alcohol-induced blackouts was predictive of comorbid MD diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neupane and Bramness (2013)</td>
<td>Multisite, cross-sectional</td>
<td>188 AUD patients in residential alcohol treatment, ages: 14 to 64, median = 35, 69% male</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, CIDI, AUDIT; (b) Self-report, paper-and-pencil questionnaires; CIDI structured interview for DSM-IV diagnoses of AUD and MD</td>
<td>Blackout experience during the first year predicted later increases in drinking among males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blackout experience during the first year predicted decreased drinking the following year among females Heavy episodic drinking youth responded with greater emotional response to the PASAT-C, but emotional responses decreased with sustained abstinence Among heavy episodic drinking youth, greater lifetime and recent alcohol consumption, alcohol-induced blackouts, and withdrawal symptoms were associated with increased negative affect with PASAT-C exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read and colleagues (2013)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>997 incoming first-year college students, ages: 18 to 24 ($M = 18.1$), 65% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, DDQ, YAACQ; (b) Self-report, web surveys at 3 time points during the first semester of college and a follow-up time point in the fall of their second year of college</td>
<td>History of alcohol-induced blackouts was predictive of comorbid MD diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winward and colleagues (2014)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>23 heavy episodic drinking youth and 23 demographically matched nondrinking youth, ages: 16 to 18 ($M = 17.7$), 50% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, FHAM, CDDR, TLFB, structured clinical interview, PANAS, PASAT-C, abstinence monitoring; (b) Self-report, parental report, baseline assessment followed by 2 monitored abstinence sessions occurring at 2-week intervals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Studies on potential cognitive and neurobiological mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methods (a) Assessment instrument(s)</th>
<th>Methods (b) Assessment method(s)</th>
<th>Blackout-related findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chitty and colleagues (2014)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>64 patients with bipolar disorder and 49 controls, ages: 18 to 30 ($M = 23$), 66% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, HDRS, BPRS, YMRS, items from WHO-ASSIST, DASS, Kessler-10</td>
<td>(b) Structured interview; self-report questionnaires and 1H-MRS</td>
<td>In both patients and controls, reduced hippocampal-GSH was associated with blackout presence/severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silveri and colleagues (2014)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>48 young adults were included in analyses (21 binge drinkers and 27 light drinkers), ages: 18 to 24, approximately 50% female</td>
<td>(a) Demographics, urine screen, BrAC measurement, SCID, TLFB, YAACCQ, AUDIT, family history of alcoholism, BDI-II, POMS, STAI, BIS-11, TMT, GNG, WASI, MRI, and 1H-MRS</td>
<td>(b) Screening, self-report questionnaires, clinical interview, scan session</td>
<td>Overall, binge drinkers had lower gamma amino butyric acid and N-acetyl-aspartate in the ACC than light drinkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetherill and colleagues (2013)</td>
<td>Multicohort, prospective</td>
<td>60 substance-naive youth who later transition into heavy drinking and experienced a blackout ($n = 20$) or not ($n = 20$) or youth who remain abstinent ($n = 20$), ages: 12 to 14 at baseline, 55% male</td>
<td>(a) Baseline parent/youth interviews, urine toxicology, BrAC measurements, CDDR, FHA, PDS, WASI, WRAT, CBCL, YSRASR, SRE, MRI</td>
<td>(b) Self-report, structured interviews, in-person questionnaires/assessments at baseline and telephone interviews quarterly thereafter, youth also completed annual, in-person assessments</td>
<td>Prior to initiating substance use, youth who later transitioned into heavy drinking and experienced blackouts showed greater activation during inhibitory processing than nondrinkers and youth who transitioned into heavy drinking, but did not experience blackouts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean activation during correct inhibitory processing in the left and right middle frontal brain regions at baseline predicted future blackout experience, after controlling for follow-up externalizing behaviors and lifetime alcohol consumption |

Individuals with and without a history of blackouts showed similar memory performance when sober |

Individuals who consumed alcohol and had a positive history of fragmentary blackouts showed greater contextual memory impairments than those who had not previously experienced a blackout |

Groups did not show significant differences when sober |

Individuals with a history of blackouts showed attenuated neural responses to contextual memory reollection in the right posterior parietal cortex and bilateral dorsolateral PFC

ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; AEQ-A, Alcohol Expectancy Questionnaire—Adolescent; APS, Alcohol Problems Scale; AREAS, Academic Role Expectations and Alcohol Scale; AUD, alcohol use disorder; AUDIT, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory-II; BIS-11, Barratt Impulsiveness Scale; BPRS, Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; BrAC, breathe alcohol concentration; BS, Brief Sensation-Seeking Scale; CBCL, Child Behavior Checklist; CDDR, Customary Drinking and Drug Use Record; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; DASS, Depression Anxiety Stress Scale; DDQ, Daily Drinking Questionnaire; ESSTC, Edinburgh Study of Youth Transitions and Crime; FHA, Family History Assessment Module; FTQ, Family Tree Questionnaire; GNG, go/no-go; GSH, glutathione; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; 1H-MRS, proton magnetic resonance imaging spectroscopy; HSS, Health Screening Survey; IPAS, Important People and Activities Scale; IPP-NEO, International Personality Inventory Pool form of the NEO 5-factor Personality Inventory; MD, major depression; MEPS, Medical Expenditure Panel Survey; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PANS, Positive and Negative Affect Scale; PASAT-C, Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test-Computerized Version; PDS, Pubertal Development Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; RAPI, Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index; SCID, Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders; SDQ, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire; SEM, structural equation modeling; SRE, Self-ratings of the effects of alcohol; SSAGA-I, Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism-I; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; TLFB, Timeline Follow-back; TMT, Trail Making Test; WAIS-III, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—third edition; WASI, Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence; WHO-ASSIST, World Health Organization’s Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test; WMS-III, Wechsler Memory Scale—third edition; WRAT, Wide Range Achievement Test; YAACCQ, Young Adult Alcohol Consequences Questionnaire; YAAPST, Youth Adult Alcohol Problems Screening Test; YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale; YSRASR, Youth Self-Report/Adult Self-Report.
experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout nearly once every 5 drinking weeks during the first year of college. Thus, alcohol-induced blackouts are not only common among those who consume alcohol, but also recur over time.

Using longitudinal methods, Schuckit and colleagues (2015) and Wilhite and Fromme (2015) focused specifically on prospective analyses of alcohol-induced blackouts. Schuckit and colleagues (2015) used latent class growth analysis to evaluate the pattern of occurrence of alcohol-induced blackouts across 4 time points in 1,402 drinking adolescents between the ages of 15 to 19. Surprisingly, 30% of the adolescents reported experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout at the age of 15, which increased to 74% at the age of 19. Analyses revealed 4 classes in the patterns of the occurrence for blackouts (i.e., no blackouts, blackouts rapidly increasing with age, blackouts slowly increasing, and blackouts consistently reported), with female sex, higher drinking quantities, smoking, externalizing characteristics, and estimated peer substance use predicting class membership (Schuckit et al., 2015). In general, these findings are consistent with previous research (Rose and Grant, 2010; White et al., 2002) and indicate that alcohol-induced blackouts are common even among early adolescents, which is particularly concerning given that the adolescent brain is undergoing significant developmental changes.

Wilhite and Fromme (2015) examined the associations between alcohol-induced blackouts, alcohol dependence symptoms (as measured by the Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index; White and Labouvie, 1989), and social and emotional negative consequences across 2 years among 829 young adults who were transitioning out of college. They found that alcohol dependence symptoms predicted an increased frequency of blackouts and consequences the following year. Alcohol-induced blackouts during the past 3 months prospectively predicted increased social and emotional negative consequences, but not alcohol dependence symptoms the following year. These findings contradict Jellinek’s (1952) theory of alcoholism, which posits that alcohol-induced blackouts are a precursor of alcoholism.

Potential Genetic Influences. Behavioral genetic research suggests that there is a heritable component to experiencing alcohol-induced blackouts (Luczak et al., 2006; Nelson et al., 2004; Slutske et al., 1999). Two recent studies explored genetic influences by examining the potential effects of family history of alcohol problems on blackout occurrence (LaBrie et al., 2011; Marino and Fromme, 2015). In a study of 2,546 college students, LaBrie and colleagues (2011) found that a family history of alcohol problems increased the likelihood of blacking out. Using data from a longitudinal study of college students, Marino and Fromme (2015) explored whether maternal or paternal family history of problematic alcohol use was better predictor than a general measure of overall family history on the likelihood of experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout. They further tested whether gender moderated the association in a sample of 1,164 college students. Although prenatal alcohol exposure was not assessed and could influence findings, the researchers found that compared to women with a maternal history of problematic alcohol use, men with a maternal history of problematic alcohol use were more than twice as likely to report experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout.

Based on the Marino and Fromme (2015) findings, one could speculate that a genetic vulnerability to alcohol-induced blackouts is expressed only under certain environmental conditions, representing a possible gene by environment interaction. For example, a mother with problematic drinking habits might contribute to an environment that is characterized by lower parental monitoring and increased alcohol availability. These environmental factors, in turn, could create stress and contribute to early initiation of alcohol use and maladaptive drinking behaviors in her offspring, especially sons, who are genetically predisposed to alcohol misuse and alcohol-induced blackouts. Given the potential impact of these findings on prevention and intervention programs, additional research examining genetic and environmental factors contributing to alcohol-induced blackouts is needed.

Prepartying and Drinking Games. Three studies examined high-risk drinking behaviors common among young adults known as “prepartying,” “pregaming,” and “drinking games” (LaBrie et al., 2011; Ray et al., 2014; Wahl et al., 2013). Typically, these drinking behaviors involve fast-paced drinking over a short period of time and can cause a rapid rise and high peak BAC, which increases the likelihood of experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout (Goodwin, 1995; Perry et al., 2006). LaBrie and colleagues (2011) examined risk factors for blackouts among 2,546 college students who reported past month prepartying. Of these students, 25% reported blacking out during at least 1 occasion over the past month when prepartying had occurred. Similarly, Wahl and colleagues (2013) examined predrinking and associated behaviors among 757 German high-school students and found that those who reported engaging in predrinking were more likely to experience alcohol-induced blackouts. Using an event-level approach, Ray and colleagues (2014) found that students consumed more alcohol during drinking game events compared to nondrinking game events, and all students were more likely to experience an alcohol-induced blackout during events when drinking games occurred. This provides additional support for the importance of drinking style (e.g., pace and type of alcohol), as well as the amount of alcohol consumed, in the occurrence of alcohol-induced blackouts.

Drinking Motives. Because drinking motives are predictors of alcohol use and consequences, recent research has also examined the association between drinking motives and alcohol-induced blackouts (Boekeloo et al., 2011; Merrill and Read, 2010; Merrill et al., 2014). Merrill and Read (2010) examined whether affect-relevant motivations for
alcohol use (i.e., coping: drinking to alleviate negative affect; enhancement: drinking to increase positive affect) were associated with specific types of consequences, including alcohol-induced blackouts, in 192 regularly drinking college students. Using structural equation modeling (SEM), the authors reported a direct path between enhancement motives and blackouts, suggesting that individuals who drink to increase positive affect might consume alcohol in a manner that results in a rapid increase in BAC and alcohol-induced blackouts, such as taking shots of liquor or drinking rapidly. Merrill and colleagues (2014) conducted a follow-up, longitudinal study examining whether coping and enhancement motives predicted alcohol consequences, including alcohol-induced blackouts, over the course of 1 year in 552 college students and reported that enhancement motives indirectly predicted blackouts the following year. Although enhancement motives did not directly predict alcohol-induced blackouts in the longitudinal study, drinking to increase positive affect seems to involve a style of drinking that increases the likelihood of blackouts.

Boekeloo and colleagues (2011) examined a different type of drinking motive—“drinking to get drunk,” which the authors defined as “premeditated, controlled, and intentional consumption of alcohol to reach a state of inebriation” (p. 89). They explored the prevalence and correlates of this type of drinking behavior in 307 incoming freshmen who reported consuming alcohol over the past 30 days. Nearly 77% of the incoming freshmen reported drinking alcohol in a premeditated, intentional manner with the goal of becoming intoxicated. Compared to those who did not drink to get drunk, individuals who reported drinking to get drunk were more likely to experience alcohol-induced blackout. Further, consistent with the prepartying and drinking games studies described previously (LaBrie et al., 2011; Ray et al., 2014; Wahl et al., 2013), individuals who reported drinking to get drunk were also more likely to have prepartied and participated in drinking games.

**Consequences of Alcohol-Induced Blackouts**

As indicated by the research described above, alcohol-induced blackouts typically occur following a rapid rise and high peak level of alcohol intoxication, and as such, alcohol-induced blackouts are associated with and predictive of other consequences and behaviors. Using SEM, Read and colleagues (2013) examined whether alcohol-related consequences, including alcohol-induced blackouts, predicted college students’ alcohol consumption 1 year later and whether these associations differed between men and women. Findings revealed that alcohol-induced blackouts during the first year of college predicted alcohol use the following year, with blackouts predicting later drinking increases in men and decreases in women (Read et al., 2013). Further, using data from a randomized controlled trial of screening and brief physician intervention for problem alcohol use among 954 undergraduate and graduate students, Mundt and colleagues (2012) examined whether baseline alcohol-induced blackouts prospectively identified individuals with alcohol-related injury over the subsequent 2 years after controlling for heavy drinking days. Findings indicated that alcohol-induced blackouts at baseline predicted alcohol-related injury over time with individuals who reported experiencing 1 to 2 blackouts at baseline being 1.5 times more likely to experience an alcohol-related injury and those who reported 6 or more blackouts being over 2.5 times more likely to experience an alcohol-related injury. Mundt and Zakletskaia (2012) conducted a follow-up analysis on the same sample and found that 1 in 8 emergency department visits for alcohol-related injuries involved an alcohol-induced blackout. Thus, among young adults, experiencing a blackout increases the likelihood of having an alcohol-related injury over time, even after controlling for heavy drinking.

Recent research has also investigated the associations between alcohol-induced blackouts and psychiatric symptomatology (Bae et al., 2015; Neupane and Bramness, 2013; Winward et al., 2014). Using data provided by the Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey from 2007 to 2011, Bae and colleagues (2015) examined associations between alcohol consumption and suicidal behavior in 42,347 Korean subjects and reported that alcohol-induced blackouts were associated with suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in males and suicidal ideation in females. Further, in a sample of 188 Nepalese patients in treatment for alcohol-use disorder, a history of alcohol-induced blackouts was predictive of having comorbid major depression (Neupane and Bramness, 2013).

Winward and colleagues (2014) used the computerized version of the Paced Auditory Serial Attention Test (PASAT-C) to examine affective reactivity, cognitive performance, and distress tolerance in relation to blackouts, during early abstinence among 23 heavy episodic drinking adolescents (aged 16 to 18) compared to 23 matched, nondrinking controls. Findings revealed that heavy episodic drinking adolescents responded with greater emotional response to the PASAT-C compared to controls, and among heavy episodic drinking adolescents, greater frequency of alcohol-induced blackouts during the past 3 months was correlated with greater increases in frustration and irritability during the PASAT-C. Overall, these findings suggest that alcohol-induced blackouts can have profound effects on an individual’s overall health and well-being, above and beyond the effects of heavy alcohol consumption.

**Potential Neurobiological Mechanisms of Alcohol-Induced Blackouts**

Although early theories posited that alcohol’s effects on cognition and behavior were due to alcohol’s general disruption of brain function and depression of the central nervous system, preclinical and clinical research now indicates that alcohol-induced cognitive and memory deficits are caused by alcohol’s effects on the hippocampus and related neural...
structures (Fig. 2) (White et al., 2000). Briefly, the hippocampus is a brain structure involved in memory formation for events and has been found to be particularly sensitive to alcohol. Indeed, animal research published prior to the period of the current review revealed that blackouts are caused by alcohol disrupting the transfer of information from short-term to long-term memory by interfering with hippocampal, medial septal, and frontal lobe functioning (White, 2003; White et al., 2000). Although a mechanism of alcohol-induced blackouts is now known, our understanding of the specific neurobiological vulnerability and why some individuals are more likely to experience alcohol-induced blackouts, while others are not, has been an area of growing interest.

Contextual memory (often described more broadly as source memory) refers to memory for details associated with a specific event (e.g., where a person was for the event, who was at the event). These memory details facilitate recall by enabling a person to consciously re-experience past events (Tulving, 2002). As such, Wetherill and Fromme (2011) examined the effects of acute alcohol consumption on contextual memory and recall among individuals with and without a history of fragmentary blackouts in an attempt to better understand why some individuals experience alcohol-induced memory impairments, whereas others do not, even when these individuals have similar drinking histories and are at comparable BrACs. Using longitudinal data to identify 88 young adults (mean age: 21.6 years ± 0.5; alcohol consumed 3 times a week, 3 standard drinks per occasion over the past 3 months) with \((n = 44)\) and without \((n = 44)\) a history of alcohol-induced blackouts who reported comparable drinking histories, denied other illicit drug use, and were demographically matched, Wetherill and Fromme (2011) conducted an alcohol administration (target BrAC of 0.08 g/dl vs. no alcohol) with memory assessments and found that
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while sober, individuals with and without a history of alcohol-induced blackouts did not differ in memory performance; however, after alcohol consumption, individuals with a history of blackouts exhibited contextual memory impairments, while those without a history of blackouts did not.

Wetherill and colleagues (2012) conducted a follow-up study that used a within-subject alcohol challenge followed by 2 functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) sessions under no alcohol and alcohol (target BrAC of 0.08 g/dl) conditions. During fMRI scanning, participants completed a contextual memory task. Findings again revealed that individuals with and without a history of fragmentary blackouts did not differ in contextual memory performance or neural activity while sober, yet after alcohol consumption, individuals with a history of fragmentary blackouts showed less neural activation during encoding and recollection of contextual details in prefrontal and parietal regions, suggesting that alcohol had differential effects on frontoparietal brain activity (Wetherill et al., 2012).

Subsequently, Wetherill and colleagues (2013) explored whether frontoparietal abnormalities exist among substance-naive youth who later transition into heavy drinking and experience alcohol-induced blackouts. Specifically, 60 substance-naive youth completed fMRI scanning during a go/no-go response inhibition task shown to engage frontal and posterior parietal brain regions (Tapert et al., 2007) at baseline and were followed annually. After approximately 5 years, youth had either remained substance-naive (n = 20) or had transitioned into heavy drinking and were classified as either alcohol-induced blackout positive (n = 20) or alcohol-induced blackout negative (n = 20). Groups were demographically matched and youth who experienced alcohol-induced blackouts were matched on follow-up substance use. Although groups did not differ in inhibitory processing performance, prior to initiating substance use, youth who later transitioned into heavy drinking and experienced an alcohol-induced blackout showed greater neural activation during inhibitory processing in frontal and cerebellar regions compared to controls and those who did not experience alcohol-induced blackouts. Further, activation during correct inhibitory processing compared to go responses in the left and right middle frontal gyri at baseline predicted future blackout experience, after controlling for alcohol consumption and externalizing behaviors (Wetherill et al., 2013). Findings from this study suggest that some individuals have inherent vulnerabilities to inhibitory processing difficulties that likely contribute to alcohol-induced memory impairments. Overall, these neuroimaging findings provide strong evidence for neurobiological vulnerabilities to alcohol-induced memory impairments and alcohol-induced blackouts that exist prior to the onset of alcohol use, but become more evident after alcohol consumption.

Silveri and colleagues (2014) and Chitty and colleagues (2014) used magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) to examine potential neurochemical differences among individuals who experience blackouts and those who do not. Using MRS in a sample of 23 young adults who were binge drinkers and 31 young adult light drinkers, researchers found in vivo evidence of lower frontal lobe (i.e., anterior cingulate cortex), gamma amino butyric acid, and N-acetyl-aspartate in binge drinkers than light drinkers, with post hoc analyses revealing that these lower neurochemical profiles were driven by binge drinkers who reported a history of alcohol-induced blackouts (Silveri et al., 2014). Further, in a sample of 113 18- to 30-year-olds (64 individuals with bipolar disorder and 49 healthy comparison controls), reduced hippocampal glutathione, the brain’s most potent antioxidant, concentration was associated with blackout occurrence and severity (Chitty et al., 2014). Chitty and colleagues (2014) interpreted these findings as support for the role of the hippocampus in alcohol-induced memory impairments. Together, these MRS findings indicate that binge drinking and riskier alcohol use affect the neurochemistry of some individuals more than others, which may contribute to the recurrence of and vulnerability to alcohol-induced memory impairments.

Practical and Clinical Implications of Alcohol-Induced Blackouts

From a review of 26 empirical studies, Pressman and Caudill (2013) concluded that only short-term memory is impaired during a blackout and that other cognitive functions, such as planning, attention, and social skills, were not affected. Because cognitive functions other than memory are not necessarily impaired during a blackout (Pressman and Caudill, 2013), a critical question is whether people are responsible for their behavior while in a blackout. This is often a key factor in alcohol-related crimes, when the perpetrator or victim claims to have no memory for their actions (van Oorsouw et al., 2004). For example, Pressman and Caudill (2013) reference a quadruple murder in which the defendant claimed he had no memory of committing the murders because he was in an alcohol-induced blackout at the time. Applying Daubert legal standards to this case (Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579 [1993]), the authors concluded that the accused’s blackout could not be used as a viable defense. Equally important, however, may be the memory of a potential victim of a crime. For example, alleged victims of sexual assault may claim that they have no memory for events that led up to the sexual activities (United States v. Pease, Navy-Marine Corps Court of Criminal Appeals 201400165 [2015]). Whereas there is legal precedent to prevent voluntary intoxication and blackouts from being viable defenses against committing a crime (Cunnien, 1986; Marlowe et al., 1999), a remaining question is the extent to which alleged victims in a blackout should be held accountable for their actions, despite their lack of memories. Unquestionably, when a victim is incapacitated from alcohol and unable to provide consent, there are grounds for a conviction of sexual assault. However, as reviewed above, blackouts can occur at BrACs well below the level of inca-
effects of a motivational invention on alcohol consumption

It is important to remember that when examining the impact of blackouts, the accused, victim, patient, or research subject is typically being asked to remember not remembering. This is a critical challenge to understanding and studying blackouts and also raises questions about the accuracy of memories that are reported following a blackout. In an effort to fill in gaps in their memory because of alcohol-induced blackouts, people use a variety of strategies to reconstruct their experiences (Nash and Takarangi, 2011). The most common reconstruction strategy is to ask friends who were present and who may or may not have also been intoxicated. Consequently, in their quest to learn about their actions while in a blackout, people may be given misinformation from their friends, leading to inaccurate reconstructions of the events. People may also look for photographs/videos or other types of physical evidence to help fill gaps in their memories due to blackouts. Regardless of how many different approaches a person takes in order to help reconstruct their memory of what occurred during a blackout, there is rarely a way to validate the memories as accurate because the process of memory reconstruction is inherently fallible.

The fallibility of memory, even in the absence of alcohol or blackouts, has been documented through decades of rigorous experimental and field research. Leading this research, Elizabeth Loftus has authored over 200 books and thousands of peer-reviewed articles which demonstrate the many ways in which memory for events can be distorted or contaminated during the process of recall (Loftus and Davis, 2006; Morgan et al., 2013; Patihis et al., 2013). Provision of misinformation, the passage of time, and being asked or interviewed about prior events can all lead to memory distortions as the individual strives to reconstruct prior events (Loftus and Davis, 2006; Nash and Takarangi, 2011). Consequently, the reliability or accuracy of memories that are recalled following a period of alcohol-induced amnesia is likely to be suspect.

There are also important clinical implications of blackouts, as alcohol-induced blackouts have been associated with psychiatric symptomatology (Bae et al., 2015; Neupane and Bramness, 2013; Winward et al., 2014), as well as feeling embarrassed or distressed when learning about their behavior during a blackout (White et al., 2004). Efforts to reconcile their intoxicated behavior with their personal values may further contribute to a significant emotional angst (Wilhite and Fromme, 2015). As such, educating people about the nature and consequences of alcohol-induced blackouts is needed. Although heavy episodic drinking, a common correlate of alcohol-induced blackouts, is often a focus of alcohol prevention programs, rarely are blackouts considered as a target for intervention. For example, a recent study on the effects of a motivational invention on alcohol consumption and blackouts found that the intervention decreased both alcohol consumption and the number of blackouts experienced (Kazemi et al., 2013). Thus, educating people about the factors that contribute to (e.g., predrinking/prepartying; family history of alcohol problems) and consequences of blackouts may help reduce the likelihood of experiencing blackouts and related negative emotional consequences (Wilhite and Fromme, 2015).

Challenges and Future Research

There are several challenges that hinder research on blackouts. First, alcohol-induced blackouts are amnestic periods, and as such, researchers are relying on self-report of alcohol consumption for a period of time that the individual cannot recall. Further, individuals who experienced a blackout often rely on other individuals to help reconstruct the events that occurred during the blackout, but the information from these other individuals is likely unreliable because they may also be consuming alcohol (Nash and Takarangi, 2011). As such, future research should use alternative methodologies to better understand the phenomenology of alcohol-induced blackouts. For example, information might be obtained from a research observer, posing as a confederate, who is not drinking, but is present at the drinking event. Also, because short-term memory remains intact, use of ecological momentary assessment with smart phones might also be useful for gathering information about the drinker’s experiences while he or she is in a blackout state. Subsequent interviews could then determine what aspects of those events were remembered and whether they were remembered in the same way that they were reported during the drinking event.

Another complicating factor for research on blackouts is the potential use of other drugs (illicit or prescription) that might also contribute to memory loss. Although several research studies statistically control for or exclude individuals who report co-occurring illicit drug use, research clearly indicates that some individuals who report blackouts also report other drug use (Baldwin et al., 2011; Haas et al., 2015). Thus, researchers must be cautious and account for factors other than alcohol that might contribute to blackouts.

Perhaps the greatest impediment to rigorous tests of alcohol-induced blackouts and behavior is that researchers are not ethically permitted to provide alcohol in sufficient doses to cause a blackout to occur. BrACs of 20 g/dl and above are typically required to induce a blackout, thereby limiting the ability to safely dose research participants to the point of blackout. As such, researchers may consider conducting field studies in order to better characterize and understand alcohol-induced blackouts, as it is quite likely that the events and consequences that occur during a blackout are underestimated given the limits of laboratory research and self-report of events. Finally, given the growing literature on alcohol-induced memory impairments...
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and blackouts, a standardized assessment for alcohol-induced blackouts is sorely needed. Most of the existing research on alcohol-induced blackouts uses either a single item from the Rutgers Alcohol Problem Index or the investigator’s own description/definition of an alcohol-induced blackout. Moreover, the frequency of occurrence for blackouts is currently measured in widely different ways, including dichotomous measures (e.g., yes/no blackouts) and proportion of times drinking that blackouts were experienced (e.g., always, sometimes, never). In an effort to better characterize blackouts, researchers should collect detailed information about past and current alcohol consumption patterns, as well as other illicit drug use. It will be important for future studies to conduct a thorough assessment of the alcohol consumption that occurred during the drinking event in which the blackout occurred (i.e., duration of drinking, type of alcohol consumed, pace of consumption), as well as gender and weight, in order to calculate more accurate estimations of BACs. Optimally, actual BrACs or blood draws could be collected to back-extrapolate peak BACs to the time of blackout. This information will enable researchers to statistically control for the direct effects of alcohol consumption and examine factors that influence alcohol-induced blackouts over and beyond the amount of alcohol consumed.

Despite the increase in research on and our understanding of alcohol-induced blackouts, additional rigorous research is still needed. Studies examining potential genetic and environmental influences, as well as their interactions, are clearly warranted given recent research findings of Marino and Fromme (2015). Sex differences in alcohol-induced blackouts are another area in need of study. Although previous research indicates that women are more vulnerable to alcohol-induced blackouts due to the effect of sex differences in pharmacokinetics and body composition on alcohol bioavailability (Rose and Grant, 2010), the influence of biological sex on alcohol-induced blackouts is inconsistent. Specifically, several studies either did not assess sex differences (Clinkinbeard and Johnson, 2013; Sanchez et al., 2015; Wetherill and Fromme, 2011; Wetherill et al., 2013), reported no sex differences (Barnett et al., 2014; Boekeloo et al., 2011; Brister et al., 2011; Wilhite and Fromme, 2015), reported that males reported higher rates of alcohol-induced blackouts (Chartier et al., 2011), or reported that being a female contributed to the likelihood of experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout (Hallett et al., 2013; Schuckit et al., 2015). These inconsistent findings could be due in part to methodological differences across research studies and assessment of alcohol-induced blackouts, and future studies should address this issue. Additional areas for future study include interventions targeting alcohol-induced blackouts, whether the risk for alcohol-induced blackouts increases as individuals age and become more susceptible to memory deficits, and whether there is a “window of vulnerability” such that experiencing an alcohol-induced blackout increases the risk of experiencing another alcohol-induced blackout within a short time frame (akin to second impact syndrome for concussions).

The literature on alcohol-induced blackouts continues to grow, and the recent research reviewed here suggests that there are individual factors that contribute to the occurrence of alcohol-induced memory impairments beyond the amount of alcohol consumed and that alcohol-induced blackouts have consequences beyond memory loss for a drinking episode. Although our understanding of alcohol-induced blackouts has improved dramatically, additional research is clearly necessary. By fine-tuning our approach to studying blackouts, we will improve our understanding of alcohol-induced blackouts and, consequently, be better situated to improve prevention strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants K23AA023894 (PI: Wetherill) and R01AA020637 (PI: Fromme) from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. The authors would like to thank Diantha LaVine for her assistance with the artwork.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have not been involved in financial relationships that pose a conflict of interest for this work. The authors are in agreement regarding the content of this manuscript.

REFERENCES


